

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/911,993	07/24/2001	David M. Vande Berg	01,241	5138		
24030	7590 06/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SHUGHART THOMSON & KILROY, PC 120 WEST 12TH STREET			LE, UYEN	LE, UYEN CHAU N		
KANSAS CITY, MO 64105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2876			

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-0-		
	09/911,993	VANDE BERG, DAVID M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Af	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 11 April 2006</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ 2	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	· ·				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) <u>13-19 and 23</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan prection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	e Examiner, note the attache	a Office Action of John F 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	٠		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2876

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Appeal Brief

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-22 (see section VII, page 11 of the Appeal Brief filed 11 April 2006) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference

Art Unit: 2876

is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 3

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blankenship et al (US 6,267,291 B1).

Re claim 21: Blankenship et al discloses (in figs. 10 & 11; col. 10, lines 8-20) a method of attaching an RF tag to a conveyor trolley having a wheel 132 with a hub, an outer rim, and a web/recess formed in the wheel between the hub and outer rim, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) embedding the RF tag (i.e., coil antenna 140) in a block of material (i.e., button 138) shaped to fit within the web/recess;
- b) placing the block/button 138 in the web/recess (i.e., the area between the outer rim and the hub); and
  - c) securing the block/button 138 to the wheel 132.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2876

5. Claims 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blankenship et al in view of Chapman et al (US 3,651,704). The teachings of Blankenship et al have been discussed above.

Re claims 20 and 22: Blankenship et al has been discussed above, but is silent with respect to having a thickness of the web less than the thickness of the outer rim.

Chapman et al teaches a pulley wheel 20 having a hub 22, a web 24, an outer rim 26, the web 24 having a thickness, which is less than the thickness of the outer rim 26 such that a first annular recess is formed between the hub and the outer rim (figs. 1-3, col. 2, lines 6-13).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the web's thickness less than the thickness of the outer rim as taught by Chapman et al into the system of Blankenship et al in order to provide Blankenship et al with a lighter weight system (i.e., weight reduced due to the web thickness), thus providing an easier handling and conveying system. Furthermore, such modification would provide Blankenship et al with a feasible system due to the fact that the material required for the web is reduced during manufacturing.

Art Unit: 2876

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 13-19 and 23 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of records to Black et al, Chapman et al, Blankenship et al, Röhrig and all other cited references, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest the specific structure or the method of a conveyor trolley having

a combination features of a wheel including a hub, an outer rim, and a web connecting the hub to the outer rim, wherein the web having a thickness which is less than the thickness of the outer rim such that a recess is from between the hub and the outer rim; the wheel being rotatably mounted on an axle between the first and second legs of a strap, a hook extending downward from the first leg for suspending a load therefrom; and an RF tag mounted in the recess as set forth in claim 13.

a wheel with a hub, an outer rim, and a web connecting the hub to the outer rim, the web comprising a plurality of spokes with openings formed there between comprising, among other things, the first block is mounted in the first recess by a clamping member seated in the second recess and secured to the first block by a fastener such that the first block and the clamping member

Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

abut opposite sides of at least one of the spokes with the fastener extending through the one opening as set forth in claim 17.

a clamping member in the second recess opposite the block; connecting the clamping member to the block with a threaded fastener extending through one of the openings in the web; tightening the threaded fastener to draw the block and the clamping member together and against the spokes (claim 23) as set forth in claim 23.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patents to Kornylak (US 4969548 A); Horne (US 5176331 A); Kirschner (US 3843188 A); Bobeczko (US 5692700 A) are cited as of interest and illustrate a similar structure to an apparatus and method for mounting an RF tag on a conveyor trolley.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau

Art Unit: 2876

N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

Ιf attempts reach the examiner by telephone to unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached 571-272-2398. on The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Uyen-Chau N. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

June 19, 2006

Page 7